

FALTINGS MODULAR HEIGHT AND SELF-INTERSECTION OF DUALIZING SHEAF

ATSUSHI MORIWAKI

January, 1994

0. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a number field, O_K the ring of integers of K and X a stable curve over O_K of genus $g \geq 2$. In this note, we will prove a strict inequality

$$\frac{(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{can})^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} > \frac{4(g-1)}{g} \text{Height}_{Fal}(J(X_K)),$$

where $\omega_{X/S}$ is the dualizing sheaf of X over $S = \text{Spec}(O_K)$, Φ_{can} is the canonical Hermitian metric of $\omega_{X/S}$ and $\text{Height}_{Fal}(J(X_K))$ is the Faltings modular height of the Jacobian of X_K (cf. Corollary 2.3). As corollary, for any constant A , the set of all stable curves X over O_K with

$$\frac{(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/O_K}, \Phi_{can})^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq A$$

is finite under the following equivalence (cf. Theorem 3.1). For stable curves X and Y over O_K , X is equivalent to Y if $X \otimes_{O_K} O_{K'} \simeq Y \otimes_{O_K} O_{K'}$ for some finite extension field K' of K .

In §1, we will consider semistability of the kernel of $H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L$, which gives a generalization of [PR]. In §2, an inequality of self-intersection and height will be treated. Finally, §3 is devoted to finiteness of stable arithmetic surfaces with bounded self-intersections of dualizing sheaves

We would like to thank Professor J.-B. Bost, S. Lang and L. Szpiro for their helpful comments.

THIS IS A TENTATIVE VERSION.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

1. SEMISTABILITY OF THE KERNEL OF $H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L$

Throughout this section, we will fix an algebraically closed field k . Let C be a smooth projective curve over k . For a non-zero torsion free sheaf E on C , an average degree $\mu(E)$ of E is defined by $\mu(E) = \deg(E)/\text{rk } E$. E is said to be stable (resp. semistable) if $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ (resp. $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$) for all non-zero proper subsheaves F of E .

Let L a line bundle on C . We set

$$E(L) = \ker(H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L) \quad \text{and} \quad M(L) = \text{Im}(H^0(C, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow L).$$

Clearly, $h^0(C, L) = h^0(C, M(L))$. If $h^0(C, L) \geq 2$, then $E(L) \neq 0$ and

$$\mu(E(L)) = \frac{-\deg(M(L))}{h^0(C, L) - 1} = \frac{-\deg(M(L))}{h^0(C, M(L)) - 1}.$$

Moreover, $\mu(E(L)) \geq -2$ if and only if $h^0(C, L) \geq \frac{1}{2}\deg(M(L)) + 1$. The main purpose of this section is to give a generalization of A. Paranjape and S. Ramanan's result [PR].

Theorem 1.1. *Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 1$ over k and L a line bundle on C such that $h^0(C, L) \geq 2$ and $\mu(E(L)) \geq -2$. Then, $E(L)$ is semistable. Moreover, we have the following.*

- (1) *If $\deg L \geq 2g + 1$, $E(L)$ is stable.*
- (2) *In the case where $\deg L = 2g$ and C is not hyperelliptic, $E(L)$ is stable if and only if $h^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C^{-1}) = 0$.*
- (3) *$E(\omega_C)$ is stable if and only if C is not hyperelliptic, where ω_C is the dualizing sheaf of C over k .*

Proof. First of all, we will prepare several lemmas.

Lemma 1.2. *Let X be a d -dimensional projective variety over k and E a vector bundle of rank r on X . If E is generated by global sections, then there is a subvector space V of $H^0(X, E)$ over k such that $\dim_k V = d + r$ and $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E$ is surjective.*

Proof. First, we consider a case where $r = 1$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(H^0(X, E))$ the morphism induced by the complete linear system $|E|$. Since $\dim \varphi(X) \leq d$, there is a linear subspace T of $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, E))$ such that $\text{codim } T = d + 1$ and $\varphi(X) \cap T = \emptyset$. Let $\{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_d\}$ be a basis of $H^0(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^d}(1))$ and $\pi : \varphi(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$ the morphism induced by the projection $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, E)) \setminus T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^d$. Here we consider a subvector space V of $H^0(X, E)$ generated by

$$(\pi \cdot \varphi)^*(s_0), (\pi \cdot \varphi)^*(s_1), \dots, (\pi \cdot \varphi)^*(s_d).$$

Then, it is easy to see that V is a desired vector subspace.

Next, we consider a general case. Let $f : Y = \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ be the projective bundle of E and $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ the tautological line bundle of Y . Since E is generated by global sections, so is $\mathcal{O}_Y(1)$. Thus, by the previous observation, there is a subvector space V

of $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1))$ such that $\dim V = d + r$ and $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(1)$ is surjective. Since $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(1)) \simeq H^0(X, E)$, we can view V as a subvector space of $H^0(X, E)$. Pick up a point $x \in X$. Let us consider the natural homomorphism $V \rightarrow H^0(Y_x, \mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(1))$. This is surjective because $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(1)$ is surjective and $W \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(1)$ is not surjective for every proper subvector space W of $H^0(Y_x, \mathcal{O}_{Y_x}(1))$. Therefore, $V \rightarrow E_x$ is surjective. Thus, we get our assertion. $\square$

Lemma 1.3. *Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g over k and W a non-zero vector bundle on C such that $h^0(C, W) = 0$ and W^* is generated by global sections. Then, we have the following.*

- (1) *If $h^1(C, (\det W)^{-1}) = 0$, then $\deg W \leq -\operatorname{rk} W - g$.*
- (2) *If $h^1(C, (\det W)^{-1}) \neq 0$, then $\deg W \leq -2 \operatorname{rk} W$. Moreover, equality holds if and only if either $W \simeq E(\omega_C)$, or C is hyperelliptic and $W \simeq E(\mathcal{O}_C(mg_2^1))$ for some $1 \leq m \leq g - 1$, where g_2^1 is the hyperelliptic divisor.*

Proof. By Lemma 1.2, there is a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{O}_C^{\operatorname{rk} W + 1} \rightarrow W^*$. Clearly, the kernel of it is $\det W$. Thus, we get

$$(1.3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^{\operatorname{rk} W + 1} \rightarrow (\det W)^{-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, since $h^0(C, W) = 0$, we have

$$(1.3.2) \quad \operatorname{rk} W + 1 \leq h^0(C, (\det W)^{-1}).$$

If $h^1(C, (\det W)^{-1}) = 0$, then, by Riemann-Roch theorem,

$$h^0(C, (\det W)^{-1}) = -\deg W + 1 - g.$$

Thus, by (1.3.2), we obtain (1).

If $h^1(C, (\det W)^{-1}) \neq 0$, then $(\det W)^{-1}$ is special. Thus, by Clifford's theorem (cf. Chapter IV, Theorem 5.4 of [Ha]),

$$(1.3.3) \quad h^0(C, (\det W)^{-1}) \leq \frac{-\deg W}{2} + 1.$$

Therefore, by (1.3.2) and (1.3.3), we have $\deg W \leq -2 \operatorname{rk} W$.

If $\deg W = -2 \operatorname{rk} W$ holds, then we have

$$\operatorname{rk} W + 1 = h^0(C, (\det W)^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad h^0(C, (\det W)^{-1}) = \frac{-\deg W}{2} + 1.$$

By equality conditions of Clifford's theorem, we have either $\det W = \mathcal{O}_C$, $\det W = \omega_C^{-1}$, or C is hyperelliptic and $\det W = \mathcal{O}_C(-mg_2^1)$ for some $1 \leq m \leq g - 1$. The case $\det W = \mathcal{O}_C$ is impossible because $\operatorname{rk} W = 0$ in this case. If $\det W = \omega_C^{-1}$, then $\operatorname{rk} W = g - 1$. Therefore, by the exact sequence (1.3.1), we have $W \simeq E(\omega_C)$. By the same way, if C is hyperelliptic and $\det W = \mathcal{O}_C(-mg_2^1)$ for some $1 \leq m \leq g - 1$, then $W \simeq E(\mathcal{O}_C(mg_2^1))$.

Conversely, if $W \simeq E(\omega_C)$, or $W \simeq E(\mathcal{O}_C(mg_2^1))$, then it is easy to see that $\deg W = -2 \operatorname{rk} W$ $\square$

Lemma 1.4. *Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g over k and L a line bundle on C . If L is generated by global sections and $h^0(C, L) \geq 2$, then*

$$h^0(C, E(L)^*) \geq h^0(C, L).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if

$$H^0(C, L) \otimes H^0(C, \omega_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C)$$

is surjective. In particular, if $g \geq 2$ and C is not hyperelliptic, then $h^0(C, E(\omega_C)^) = g$.*

Proof. Let us consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow H^0(C, L)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E(L)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have

$$h^0(C, E(L)^*) \geq h^0(C, L).$$

Further, equality holds if and only if

$$H^1(C, L^{-1}) \rightarrow H^1(C, H^0(C, L)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_C)$$

is injective. Considering Serre's duality, the injectivity is equivalent to the surjectivity of

$$H^0(C, H^0(C, L) \otimes \omega_C) \rightarrow H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C).$$

Thus, we have our lemma because $H^0(C, H^0(C, L) \otimes \omega_C) \simeq H^0(C, L) \otimes H^0(C, \omega_C)$. $\square$

Let us start the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let W be a proper non-zero subvector bundle of $E(L)$. Then, clearly, $h^0(C, W) = 0$ because $h^0(C, E(L)) = 0$. Moreover, since $M(L)$ is locally free, $E(L)^*$ is a quotient of $H^0(C, L)^* \otimes \mathcal{O}_C$. Thus so is W^* . Therefore, W^* is generated by global sections. So we can apply Lemma 1.3. If $h^1(C, (\det W)^{-1}) = 0$, then we have $\mu(W) < \mu(E(L))$ as follows.

$$\mu(W) \leq -1 - \frac{g}{\text{rk } W} < -1 - \frac{g}{\text{rk } E(L)} = \frac{-\deg(M(L)) - h^1(C, M(L))}{\text{rk } E(L)} \leq \mu(E(L)).$$

If $h^1(C, (\det W)^{-1}) \neq 0$, then $\mu(W) \leq -2$ by Lemma 1.3. Thus, $E(L)$ is semistable.

Next, we will consider stability of $E(L)$ for each case (1) – (3).

(1) In this case, $\mu(E(L)) > -2$. Thus, stability is trivial.

(2) First, we assume that $E(L)$ is stable. If $h^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C^{-1}) \neq 0$, then ω_C is a subsheaf of L . Thus, $E(\omega_C)$ is a subsheaf of $E(L)$. On the other hand, $\mu(E(L)) = \mu(E(\omega_C)) = -2$. Thus, $E(L)$ is not stable. This is a contradiction.

Next we assume that $h^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C^{-1}) = 0$. If $E(L)$ is not stable, by Lemma 1.3, there is a subbundle W of $E(L)$ such that W is isomorphic to $E(\omega_C)$. Thus, we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow L \otimes \omega_C^{-1} \rightarrow E(L)^* \rightarrow E(\omega_C)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 1.4, $h^0(C, E(L)^*) \geq g + 1$ and $h^0(C, E(\omega_C)^*) = g$. Thus, $h^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C^{-1}) \neq 0$. This is a contradiction.

(3) By Lemma 1.3, it is easy to see that if C is not hyperelliptic, then $E(\omega_C)$ is stable. Here, we assume that C is hyperelliptic. Then, $\omega_C \simeq \mathcal{O}_C((g-1)g_2^1)$. Thus, ω_C has a subsheaf $\mathcal{O}_C(g_2^1)$, which implies that $E(\omega_C)$ has a subsheaf $E(g_2^1)$. On the other hand, $\mu(E(\omega_C)) = \mu(E(g_2^1)) = -2$. Thus, $E(\omega_C)$ is not stable. $\square$

2. INEQUALITY OF SELF-INTERSECTION AND HEIGHT

Let K be a number field and O_K the ring of integers of K . Let us consider a pair (V, h) of an O_K -module V of finite rank and Hermitian metric h_σ on V_σ for each $\sigma \in K_\infty$. We define L^2 -degree $\deg_{L^2}(V, h)$ of (V, h) by

$$\deg_{L^2}(V, h) = \log \# \left(\frac{V}{O_K x_1 + \cdots + O_K x_t} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in K_\infty} \log \det(h_\sigma(x_i, x_j)),$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_t \in V$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ is a basis of $V \otimes K$. Using the Hasse product formula, it is easily checked that $\deg_{L^2}(V, h)$ does not depend on the choice of $\{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem, which is a variant of Theorem II in [Bo] and gives a refine result in special cases.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and L a line bundle on X such that L is f -nef and $\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) > 0$. Let V be a O_K -submodule of $H^0(X, L)$ and h a Hermitian metric of V such that the natural homomorphism $V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}} \rightarrow L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is surjective. Let h_L the quotient metric of L induced by h via the surjective homomorphism $V_\sigma \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_\sigma} \rightarrow L_\sigma$ on each infinite fiber X_σ . If $\ker(V_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}} \rightarrow L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ is semistable, then*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L)^2)}{\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})} > \frac{\deg_{L^2}(V, h)}{\text{rk } V}.$$

Proof. Let Q be the image of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$ and S the kernel of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$. Then, Q is a torsion free sheaf of rank 1 and S is a torsion free sheaf of rank $\text{rk } V - 1$. Using the natural metric $f^*(h)$ of $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, we can give the quotient metric h_Q to Q and the submetric h_S to S . Clearly, on each infinite fiber, h_Q coincides with h_L of L .

Here, we calculate $\widehat{c}_1(S, h_S)$ and $\widehat{c}_2(S, h_S)$ in terms of $\widehat{c}_1(V, h)$, $\widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)$ and the extension class of $0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$. First of all, we get

$$(2.1.1) \quad \widehat{c}_1(S, h_S) = f^*(\widehat{c}_1(V, h)) - \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q).$$

We set

$$(2.1.2) \quad \rho = \widehat{c}_2(f^*(V, h)) - \widehat{c}_2((S, h_S) \oplus (Q, h_Q)).$$

Then, by Proposition 7.3 of [Mo], we have that $\rho \geq 0$, and $\rho = 0$ if and only if the exact sequence:

$$(2.1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow (S, h_S) \rightarrow f^*(V, h) \rightarrow (Q, h_Q) \rightarrow 0$$

splits orthogonally on each infinite fiber. It follows from (2.1.2) that

$$(2.1.4) \quad \widehat{c}_2(S, h_S) = -(\rho + \widehat{c}_2(Q, h_Q)) - \deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \deg_{L^2}(V, h) + \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)^2.$$

In our situation, (2.1.3) doesn't split on each infinite fiber because a trivial bundle doesn't have an ample sub-line bundle as its direct summand. So we get $\rho > 0$. Moreover, since $\text{rk } Q = 1$, we obtain $\widehat{c}_2(Q, h_Q) \geq 0$. Hence, by (2.1.4),

$$(2.1.5) \quad \widehat{c}_2(S, h_S) < -\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) \deg_{L^2}(V, h) + \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)^2,$$

Since $S_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is semistable vector bundle, by virtue of Corollary 8.9 in [Mo], we obtain

$$(2.1.6) \quad (\text{rk } V - 2)\widehat{c}_1(S, h_S)^2 \leq 2(\text{rk } V - 1)\widehat{c}_2(S, h_S).$$

Combining (2.1.1), (2.1.5) and (2.1.6), we have

$$(2.1.7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{\widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)^2}{\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})} > \frac{\deg_{L^2}(V, h)}{\text{rk } V}.$$

On the other hand, since $Q \subseteq L$ and $Q \otimes K = L \otimes K$, there is a vertical effective 1-cycle Z on X such that

$$\widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q) = \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L) - Z.$$

Therefore,

$$\widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)^2 = \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L)^2 - 2(L \cdot Z) + Z^2.$$

Hence, since L is f -nef and $Z^2 \leq 0$, the above implies that

$$(2.1.8) \quad \widehat{c}_1(Q, h_Q)^2 \leq \widehat{c}_1(L, h_L)^2.$$

Thus, by (2.1.7) and (2.1.8), we finally get our inequality. $\square$

By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.1, we have

Corollary 2.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow S = \text{Spec}(O_K)$ be a regular arithmetic surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and L a line bundle on X such that L is f -nef, $\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) > 0$, $L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is generated by global sections and $\text{rk } H^0(X, L) \geq \frac{1}{2} \deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) + 1$. Let h be a Hermitian metric of $H^0(X, L)$ and h_L the quotient metric of L induced by h via the surjective homomorphism $H^0(X_{\sigma}, L_{\sigma}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{\sigma}} \rightarrow L_{\sigma}$ on each infinite fiber. Then we have*

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\widehat{c}_1(L, h_L)^2)}{\deg(L_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})} > \frac{\deg_{L^2}(H^0(X, L), h)}{\text{rk } H^0(X, L)}.$$

Let C be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and Ω_C the sheaf of holomorphic 1-forms on C . The natural Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{can}$ of $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ is defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{can} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \int_C \alpha \wedge \bar{\beta}.$$

Since $H^0(C, \Omega_C) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \Omega_C$ is surjective, the Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{can}$ induces the quotient Hermitian metric of Ω_C . We denote this metric by Φ_{can} and call it the canonical metric of Ω_C . Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{can}$. Then, the Kähler metric $k_{can} = \Phi_{can}^{-1}$ is given by

$$\omega_1 \otimes \bar{\omega}_1 + \dots + \omega_g \otimes \bar{\omega}_g.$$

Let K be a number field, O_K the ring of integers of K and $S = \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be an arithmetic surface of the genus $g \geq 1$ with the invertible dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X/S}$. We can give the above canonical metric to $\omega_{X/S}$ on each infinite fiber. By abuse of notation, we denote this metric by Φ_{can} .

Let A be an abelian variety of dimension g over K such that A has semi-stable reduction. Let $\pi : N(A) \rightarrow S$ be the Neron model of A and $\varepsilon : S \rightarrow N(A)$ the identity of the group scheme $N(A)$. Set $\omega_{A/S} = \varepsilon^*(\det(\Omega_{N(A)/S}))$. For each infinite place $\sigma \in K_{\infty}$, we give a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma}$ of $\omega_{A/S}$ defined by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\sigma} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right)^g \int_{A_{\sigma}} \alpha \wedge \bar{\beta}.$$

The Faltings modular height $\text{Height}_{Fal}(A)$ of A is given by

$$\text{Height}_{Fal}(A) = \frac{\deg_{L^2}(\omega_{A/S}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

The following corollary is the main result of this note which is a refinement of the inequality (4.9) in [Bo].

Corollary 2.3. *Let K be a number field, O_K the ring of integers of K and $S = \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a stable arithmetic surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then we have*

$$\frac{(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{can})^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} > \frac{4(g-1)}{g} \text{Height}_{Fal}(J(X_K)),$$

where $J(X_K)$ is the Jacobian of X_K .

Proof. First of all, it is well known that

$$\frac{\deg_{L^2}(H^0(X, \omega_{X/S}), \langle, \rangle_{nat})}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} = \text{Height}_{Fal}(J(X_K)).$$

Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a minimal resolution of X . Then, $\omega_{Y/S}$ is f -nef, $H^0(Y, \omega_{Y/S}) = H^0(X, \omega_{X/S})$, and $(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{can})^2) = (\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{Y/S}, \Phi_{can})^2)$. Thus, our assertion follows from Corollary 2.2 $\square$

3. FINITENESS OF STABLE ARITHMETIC SURFACES WITH BOUNDED SELF-INTERSECTIONS OF DUALIZING SHEAVES

In this section, we will consider an application of the inequality of Corollary 2.3. Let g an integer with $g \geq 2$. For a scheme T , we set

$$\bar{M}_g^s(T) = \{X \rightarrow T \mid X \rightarrow T \text{ is a stable curve of genus } g \text{ with smooth generic fibers}\}.$$

For $X, Y \in \bar{M}_g^s(\text{Spec}(O_K))$, we define an equivalence $X \sim Y$ by the following:

$$X \sim Y \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} X \otimes_{O_K} O_{K'} \simeq Y \otimes_{O_K} O_{K'} \quad \text{for some finite extension field } K' \text{ of } K.$$

For a constant A , we denote by $B_g^{can}(K, A)$ a subset of $\bar{M}_g^s(\text{Spec}(O_K))/\sim$ consisting of the classes of stable curves with

$$\frac{(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{can})^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq A.$$

Then, we have

Theorem 3.1. *If $g \geq 2$, then $B_g^{can}(K, A)$ is finite for any number field K and any constant A .*

Proof. By Corollary 2.3 and the finiteness property of the Faltings modular height (cf. [Fa]), it is sufficient to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let K be a number field and O_K the ring of integers of K . Let X and X' be stable curves over O_K of genus $g \geq 2$. If X_K is isomorphic to X'_K over K , then this isomorphism extends to an isomorphism over O_K .*

Proof. Since X_K is isomorphic to X'_K over K , there is a rational map $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ over O_K . Let $\mu : Y \rightarrow X$ be a minimal succession of blowing-ups such that $\phi \cdot \mu$ induces a morphism $\mu' : Y \rightarrow X'$. There are effective divisors Z and Z' on Y such that

$$\omega_{Y/O_K} = \mu^*(\omega_{X/O_K}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(Z) = \mu'^*(\omega_{X'/O_K}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(Z').$$

Clearly, $\text{Supp}(Z)$ is contracted by μ and $\text{Supp}(Z')$ is contracted by μ' . Moreover, by the minimality of μ , Z and Z' has no common components. Let us consider

$$(\mu^*(\omega_{X/O_K}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(Z) \cdot Z) = (\mu'^*(\omega_{X'/O_K}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(Z') \cdot Z).$$

If $Z \neq 0$, then the left hand side of the above is negative. But the right hand side is non-negative. Therefore, $Z = 0$. By the same way, $Z' = 0$. Thus, we have

$$\omega_{Y/O_K} = \mu^*(\omega_{X/O_K}) = \mu'^*(\omega_{X'/O_K}).$$

Here, we assume that μ is not an isomorphism. Then, there is a curve C on Y such that C is contracted by μ , but is not contracted by μ' . Then, $(\mu^*(\omega_{X/O_K}) \cdot C) = 0$, but $(\mu'^*(\omega_{X'/O_K}) \cdot C) > 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus, the rational map $\phi : X \dashrightarrow X'$ is actually a morphism and $\phi^*(\omega_{X'/O_K}) = \omega_{X/O_K}$. Hence, ϕ is finite because ω_{X/O_K} and ω_{X'/O_K} are ample. Moreover, X and X' are normal. Therefore, by Zariski main theorem, ϕ is an isomorphism. $\square$

Next, we give a variant of Theorem 3.1. Let C be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ an orthonormal basis of $H^0(C, \Omega_C)$ with respect to $\langle, \rangle_{can}$. We set the normalized Kähler form μ_{can} as follows.

$$\mu_{can} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2g} \sum_{i=1}^g \omega_i \wedge \bar{\omega}_i.$$

We can give another metric Φ_{Ar} of Ω_C by the following way, which is called the Arakelov metric. Let Δ be the diagonal of $C \times C$, $p : C \times C \rightarrow C$ the first projection, and $q : C \times C \rightarrow C$ the second projection. Let h_Δ be the Einstein-Hermitian metric of $\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\Delta)$ with respect to $p^*\mu_{can} + q^*\mu_{can}$ such that

$$\int_{C \times C} \log(h_\Delta(1, 1))(p^*\mu_{can} + q^*\mu_{can})^2 = 0,$$

where 1 is the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_{C \times C}(\Delta)$. Since Ω_C is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_\Delta(-\Delta)$, $(h_\Delta^{-1})|_\Delta$ induces the metric Φ_{Ar} on Ω_C . It is well known that $c_1(\Omega_C, \Phi_{Ar}) = 2(g-1)\mu_{can}$. Here we set

$$\nu_{Ar}(C) = \int_C \log \left(\frac{\Phi_{Ar}}{\Phi_{can}} \right) \mu_{can}.$$

Then, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let K be a number field, O_K the ring of integers of K and $S = \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a stable arithmetic surface of genus $g \geq 1$. Then,*

$$(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{can})^2) = (\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{Ar})^2) + 2(g-1)\nu_{Ar}(X/S),$$

where $\nu_{Ar}(X/S) = \sum_{\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})} \nu_{Ar}(X_\sigma)$.

Proof. We set $\rho = \Phi_{Ar}/\Phi_{can}$. Then

$$(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{can})^2) = (\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{Ar})^2) + \sum_{\sigma \in K(\mathbb{C})} \int_{C_\sigma} \log(\rho_\sigma) c_1(\omega_{X_\sigma}, (\Phi_{Ar})_\sigma).$$

Thus we get our lemma because $c_1(\omega_{X_\sigma}, (\Phi_{Ar})_\sigma) = 2(g-1)(\mu_{can})_\sigma$. $\square$

For constants A_1 and A_2 , we denote by $B_g^{Ar}(K, A_1, A_2)$ a subset of $\bar{M}_g^s(\text{Spec}(O_K))/\sim$ consisting of the classes of stable curves with

$$\frac{(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{Ar})^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq A_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\nu_{Ar}(X/S)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq A_2.$$

Thus, Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 imply

Corollary 3.4. *For any number field K and any constants A_1 and A_2 , $B_g^{Ar}(K, A_1, A_2)$ is finite.*

Remark 3.5. Optimistically, we can guess that

$$B_g^{Ar}(K, A) = \left\{ X \rightarrow S \in \bar{M}_g^s(\text{Spec}(O_K)) \mid \frac{(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{Ar})^2)}{[K : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq A \right\} / \sim$$

is finite for any constant A . Indeed, as L. Szpiro pointed out in his letter, $B_g^{Ar}(K, 0)$ is finite. For, let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a stable arithmetic surface of genus $g \geq 2$. Then, by [Zh], if f is not smooth, then $(\widehat{c}_1(\omega_{X/S}, \Phi_{Ar})^2) > 0$. Thus, by Shafarevich Conjecture which was proved by Faltings [Fa], $B_g^{Ar}(K, 0)$ is finite.

REFERENCES

- [Bo] J.-B. Bost, *Semi-stability and heights of cycles*, preprint (IHES).
- [Fa] G. Faltings, *Endlichkeitssätze für abelsche Varietäten über Zahlkörpern*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), 349–366.
- [Ha] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, GTM 52, Springer-Verlag, 1977.
- [Mo] A. Moriwaki, *Inequality of Bogolomov-Gieseker type on arithmetic surfaces*, to appear in Duke Math. J..
- [PR] A. Paranjape and S. Ramanan, *On the canonical ring of an algebraic curve*, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of Masayoshi NAGATA **II** (1987), 501–516.
- [Zh] S. Zhang, *Admissible pairing on a curve*, Invent. Math. **112** (1993), 171–193.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO, 606-01, JAPAN

Current address: Department of Mathematics, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90024, USA

E-mail address: moriwaki@math.ucla.edu